

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/575,348	05/19/2000	Shoichi Ando	12052.33US01	1005	
23552	7590 06/19/2002				
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			IP, SIK	IP, SIKYIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742	11	
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002	//	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	Al	TORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	EX	EXAMINER	
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		١١	
מ	ATE MAILED		

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE

ADVISORY ACTION					
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:					
a) is extended to run 5 mentles or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection				
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.13 The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory process.	ne date of the response and also the date to the the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR				
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed has been con to place the application in condition for allowance:					
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:					
 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. 					
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).					
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).					
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.					
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if the non-allowable claims.					
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered be as follows:	** The mean aspect this is				
Claims allowed:	far away from I which indicates				
Claims objected to:	carbide particle is not round at therefore, it is unclear why mean				
Claims rejected:	carbide particle measured in dist				
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	diameter.				
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but of the first seet for the fir	m good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier				
□ The proposed drawing correction □ has □ has not been approved by the end of the Mn, P, ES outside the claimed ranges. In Table	has only Mn PRIMARY EXAMINER 2, it is impossible				
for a mean carbide particle diameter a	bout metre. "10 000 1007 117 281 87704				
PTOL303 (REV. 589) Furthermore it is unclear why TP-2	imper/unit area the Tol.				